	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	09/925,431	PEREZ ROLDAN, ALBERTO GONZALO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>Cephia D. Toomer</u> .	(3)	
(2) Robert Bushnell.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 April 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: .	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 102/102		
Claims discussed: all in general		
Prior art documents discussed: Camp		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To f the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ 	the examiner will provide a wri	ne interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-413B)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Bushnell that the prior art fails to teach or suggest a hydrocarbon oil that possesses a viscosity of 67.8 cSt at 40 C. Mr. Bushnell stated that he would contact the examiner in a few days. The examiner waited until close of business on April 29, 2004, but could not wait any longer due to her heavy case load..